Micronutrient Supplementation for Cancer Prevention.

A. Kristal, USA

Decades of research on the use of micronutrient supplements for cancer prevention has yielded inconsistent and disappointing results. Here I reflect upon the limitations of past research, and develop a framework for how to move from basic biological and epidemiological research to large, randomized cancer prevention There are many biologically rational hypotheses supporting effects of micronutrient supplements for cancer prevention, with thousands of supportive studies in in vitro and animal models. But careful evaluation of much of this research shows it irrelevant to human nutrition: agents tested are in concentrations and forms that are never seen in vivo. Small clinical studies often have no control groups, use uninformative endpoints, or have obvious design or analysis flaws. Epidemiological studies are challenging because they can rarely capture biological complexity: associations may differ by genetic characteristics or environmental exposures (e.g., smoking), and cancers with diverse phenotypes are group by anatomic site. Furthermore, because supplement use is associated with may other health-related behaviors and most supplement users take multiple supplements. statistical methods cannot reliably disentangle these highly inter-correlated factors. Studies based on blood-based biomarkers of micronutrient intake can be misleading, either because the biomarker is an acute phase reactant (Se, αtocopherol) or the biomarker is not a valid measure of micronutrient status (Zn). Randomized clinical trials have a high likelihood of vielding null results, because often the optimal dose and formulation of the agent are unknown, adherence is poor, study duration is too short, or the outcome is misspecified. Furthermore, many studies have found that micronutrient supplementation increases cancer risk, suggesting the high dose micronutrient supplementation can lead to subacute The challenge to biomedical research is to use in vitro and animal experimental models that are relevant to human nutrition, design and execute small clinical studies with the same rigor required for pharmaceutical research, and use micronutrient biomarkers that validly reflect nutritional status. Clinical trials should more ahead only when the biology is well-understood, the population likely to benefit can be identified, and the funding is sufficient to support programs to promote treatment adherence and the collection and banking of biological specimens at randomization and at multiple times post-randomization.